

KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321)  
 United States Attorney

MARK L. KROTOSKI (CABN 138549)  
 Chief, Criminal Division

HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR. (CSBN 172732)  
 CHRISTINA HUA (CSBN 185358)  
 Assistant United States Attorneys

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
 San Francisco, California 94102-3495  
 Telephone: (415) 436-7212  
 FAX: (415) 436-7234

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	No. CR 06-0183 CRB
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	STIPULATION AND <del>PROPOSED</del>
	)	ORDER REGARDING EXCLUSION OF
v.	)	TIME
	)	
RAIMUND WERSCHING,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
_____	)	

The defendant, with counsel, came before the Court for a trial setting hearing on June 7, 2006. At the hearing, counsel for the defendant indicated that they needed time to review the voluminous discovery produced by the government in this matter, in order to determine what motions to file and otherwise to prepare for trial. The Court set the case over for trial on March 7, 2006. The parties agreed, and the Court found, that the time between June 7, 2006 and March 5, 2007 was properly excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(8)(A) and (h)(B)(ii) and (iv). The Court found that, due to the complexity of this matter and defense counsel's need to review the discovery to adequately prepare, failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny the defense the reasonable time

1 necessary for effective preparation. The parties agree that the ends of justice served by granting  
2 the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy  
3 trial.

4  
5 SO STIPULATED.

6 DATED: June 19, 2006

/S/  
ROBERT S. CAREY  
Attorney for the Defendant

8  
9 DATED: June 21, 2006

/S/  
HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.  
Assistant United States Attorney

10  
11 **ORDER**

12 For the foregoing reasons, and based on the record at the June 7, 2006 hearing in this  
13 matter, the Court HEREBY ORDERS the period between June 7, 2006 and March 5, 2007  
14 excluded from the speedy trial calculation under Title 18, United States Code, Sections  
15 3161(h)(8)(A) and (h)(B)(ii) and (iv). The Court finds that the failure to grant the requested  
16 continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for  
17 effective preparation, given the complexity of this case and the need for adequate preparation.  
18 The Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh  
19 the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of  
20 criminal cases.

21  
22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23  
24 DATED: June 21, 2006

